arXiv:1302.4103v2 [math.AP] 12 Apr 2013

Schauder estimate for solutions of Poisson's equation with Neumann boundary condition

G. Nardi*

Abstract

In this work we consider the Neumann problem for the Laplace operator and we prove an existence result in the Hölder spaces and obtain Schauder estimates. According to our knowledge this result is not explicitly proved in the several works devoted to the Schauder theory, where similar theorems are proved in detail for the Dirichlet and oblique derivative problems. Our contribution is to make explicit the existence and the estimate for the Neumann problem.

1 Introduction

Let Ω be an $C^{2,\alpha}$-domain of $\mathbb{R}^N$. We consider the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

with $f \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ and $g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$. The aim of this paper is to prove an existence result in $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ for this problem and an estimate of the form

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \leq C(\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} + \|g\|_{C^{2,\alpha}}).$$

In the 1930s, this kind of estimates has been used by Schauder and Caccioppoli to prove an existence result in $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ for the Dirichlet problem for an elliptic equation ([7]: Theorem 6.8 p.100; [8]: Theorem 1.3 p.107). Using the same technique, in the 1950s, Fiorenza proved a similar estimate and an existence result in $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ for the oblique derivative problem

$$l(x)u + m(x)\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g \ \text{ on } \ \partial\Omega \qquad (lm > 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega)$$

for elliptic equations ([7]: Theorem 6.31, p.128; [8]: Theorem 3.1, p.126).

Unfortunately the hypothesis $l \neq 0$ can not be removed in the proof of the existence result and the Schauder estimate for the oblique derivative problem ([7]: Theorem 6.31, p.128). Moreover, reading this proof, we can verify that it is not even possible to get the result for the Neumann problem taking the limit $l \to 0$.

---

*CEntre de REcherches en MAthématiques de la DEcision (CEREMADE), CNRS : UMR7534, Université Paris IX - Paris Dauphine. Email: `nardi@ceremade.dauphine.fr`

So the case of the Neumann problem needs to be considered independently and, according to our knowledge, it is not explicitly present in the classical litterature on the subject (see for instance [7], [8]) where the Dirichlet and oblique derivative problem are studied in detail.

The main goal of this work is to formalize the existence result and a Schauder estimate for the Neumann problem for the Poisson's equation.

Our main contribution is the following result (Theorems 2.1 and 3.1):

Theorem. *Let* $\alpha \in (0,1)$ *and* Ω *be a* $C^{2,\alpha}$*-domain. Let* $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ *and* $g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ *such that*

$$\int_{\Omega} f = \int_{\partial\Omega} g.$$

Then there exists a solution $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ *(unique up to an additive constant) to the problem*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Moreover, every solution to this problem verifies the following estimate

$$\left\| u - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_{\Omega} u \right\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \leq C(\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}),$$

with $C = C(\Omega, N, \alpha)$.

The starting point of the proof is the alternative theorem for the oblique derivative problem for an uniformly elliptic operator L with coefficients in $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ and $c < 0$ (Theorem 4.1). This allows us to prove an existence (uniqueness is given up to a constant) result for the Neumann problem for elliptic operators with $c < 0$ (Theorem 4.2). Afterwords, using Theorem 4.2 and the Fredholm alternative, we can prove the existence and uniqueness of the solution to our initial problem in the class of functions belonging to $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ and having null average (Theorem 2.1).

Concerning the estimate we are led to estimate the quantity $u - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int u$ instead of u, because the solution to the Neumann problem, if there exists, is unique up to a constant. We give three proofs of this result (Theorem 3.1).

We finally obtain, for the Neumann problem, similar results to those for the Dirichlet and oblique derivative boundary conditions.

As already said, the theorem is well known to all specialists of elliptic partial differential equations. However, after discussion with several of them, we were not able to find a precise reference for such a result, specially the one concerning the estimate.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove an existence theorem for the Neumann problem for the Poisson's equation (Theorem 2.1). In Section 3 we prove the estimate (Theorem 3.1). In Section 4 we remind some useful results to prove the existence theorem.

We adopt the same notation and definitions than in [7]. We refer to [12] for a more detailed analysis of the problem.

Acknowledgments

We thank A.Adimurthi, N.Fusco, R.Gianni, L.Orsina, and N.Trudinger, for their advice. We thank B. Dacorogna who supervised the researches on this subject.

2 Existence of solutions to the Neumann problem for the Poisson's equation in $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$

In this section we consider the Poisson's equation with Neumann boundary condition and we prove the following result:

Theorem 2.1. *Let* Ω *be a* $C^{2,\alpha}$*-domain and let* $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}), g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ *such that:*

$$\int_\Omega f = \int_{\partial\Omega} g. \tag{1}$$

Then the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

admits a unique solution in the class

$$\mathscr{C} = \left\{ u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) : \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u = 0 \right\}.$$

We prove two preliminary lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ *be a* C^2*-domain and let* $u \in C^2(\overline{\Omega})$*. We suppose that there exists* $p \in \partial\Omega$ *such that:*

$$u(p) = \max_{\overline{\Omega}} u \ (\min_{\overline{\Omega}} u) \quad \text{and} \quad Du(p) = 0.$$

then $\Delta u(p) \leq 0$ (≥ 0).

Proof: Up to a rotation and a translation, we can suppose that p is the origin of a orthonormal system of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Moreover, as $\Omega \in C^2$, we assume that there exists a ball $B = B(p, R)$ such that for every e_i belonging to the canonical basis, we have $-re_i \in \Omega$ for every $0 < r < R$.

Partial derivatives verify

$$D_{ii}u(p) = \lim_{h\to 0^-} \frac{D_i u(p + he_i) - D_i u(p)}{h} = \lim_{h\to 0^-} \frac{D_i u(p + he_i)}{h} \leq 0,$$

because $Du(p) = 0$ and, for $h < 0$ small we have $D_i u(p + he_i) \geq 0$.
If p is a minimum point the proof is similar. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ *be a* C^2*-domain and* $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$*. Let* $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ *be a solution to the problem*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u - u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

then

$$\|u\|_{C^0} \leq \|f\|_{C^0}.$$

Proof: Let p be such that $|u(p)| = \max_{\overline{\Omega}} |u|$. We consider separately the following cases: $p \in \Omega$ and $p \in \partial\Omega$.

$\mathbf{p \in \Omega}$. Let p be a maximum point for u then $u(p) \geq 0$ and $\Delta u(p) \leq 0$. As $\Delta u - u = f$ we get $f(p) = \Delta u(p) - u(p) \leq \Delta u(p) \leq 0$. Thus

$$\|u\|_{C^0} = u(p) = \Delta u(p) - f(p) \leq -f(p) \leq \|f\|_{C^0}.$$

If p is a minimum point for u ($u(p) \leq 0$) the proof is similar.

$\mathbf{p \in \partial\Omega}$. If p is a maximum point for u then p is a maximum point for $u_{|\partial\Omega}$. So, for every tangent vector τ to $\partial\Omega$ at p we have:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tau}(p) = 0$$

and, using the hypothesis

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}(p) = 0,$$

we get $Du(p) = 0$. Thanks to the previous lemma we have $\Delta u(p) \leq 0$ and, as $\Delta u - u = f$ on $\partial\Omega$, the lemma ensues. We can use the same arguments if p is a minimum point for u. □

Now, we can show the existence theorem for the Neumann problem for the Laplace operator:

Proof of Theorem 2.1: By Theorem 4.2 there exists an unique solution in $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, denoted by $\mathfrak{T}[f,g]$, to the problem:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u - u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

for every f, g verifying the compatibility condition (1). Moreover

$$\int_\Omega u = \int_\Omega \Delta u - \int_\Omega f = \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} - \int_{\partial\Omega} g = 0. \tag{3}$$

so $\mathfrak{T}[f,g] \in \mathscr{C}$. Defining

$$\mathscr{A} = \left\{ (f,g) \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) \times C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) : \int_\Omega f = \int_{\partial\Omega} g \right\}$$

we have that $\mathfrak{T} : \mathscr{A} \to \mathscr{C}$ is a well defined bijective operator.

Now, we consider the following equation:

$$u - \mathfrak{T}[-u, 0] = \mathfrak{T}[f,g]. \tag{4}$$

Then $u \in \mathscr{C}$ is a solution to the problem (2) if and only if it is a solution of (4), because:

$$(f,g) = \mathfrak{T}^{-1}(u - \mathfrak{T}[-u,0]) = \mathfrak{T}^{-1}u + (u,0) = \left(\Delta u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} \right).$$

Then, we need to show that for every $(f,g) \in \mathscr{A}$ there exists an unique solution $u \in \mathscr{C}$ of (4). As $\mathfrak{T}$ is bijective we are led to prove that, for every $v \in \mathscr{C}$, the equation

$$u - \mathfrak{T}[-u, 0] = v \tag{5}$$

admits an unique solution on $\mathscr{C}$. For that we use the Fredholm alternative theorem.

We consider the space

$$\mathscr{F} = \left\{ f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}) : \frac{1}{|\Omega|} \int_\Omega f = 0 \right\},$$

equipped with the norm of $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$. Let T be the operator:

$$T : \mathscr{F} \to \mathscr{F},$$

$$Tf = \mathfrak{T}[-f, 0].$$

Using the properties of $\mathfrak{T}$, we get:

$$T(\mathscr{F}) \subset \mathscr{C}. \tag{6}$$

We firstly show that T is a compact operator. Let $\{f_k\} \subset \mathscr{F}$ then, because of Theorem 4.2 and (3), there exists $\{u_k\} \subset \mathscr{C}$ such that $Tf_k = u_k$ and, because of Theorem 6.30 in [7] (p.127), we have

$$\|u_k\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \leq C(\|f_k\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} + \|u_k\|_{C^0}).$$

So, using the previous Lemma, we get:

$$\|u_k\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \leq C\|f_k\|_{C^{0,\alpha}}.$$

If $\{f_k\}$ is a bounded sequence of $\mathscr{F}$ then $\{u_k\}$ is bounded in $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ and in $W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$. Thus there exists a subsequence $\{u_{k_h}\}$ and a function $u \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$ such that

$$u_{k_h} \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} u, \text{ in } W^{2,\infty}$$

so, for every $p > 1$

$$u_{k_h} \overset{*}{\rightharpoonup} u, \text{ in } W^{2,p}.$$

Now, choosing $\dfrac{N}{2-\alpha} < p \leq N$, Rellich-Kondrachov implies that

$$u_{k_h} \to u \text{ in } C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$$

which proves that T is compact.

Now, equation (5) can be rewritten as

$$u - Tu = v. \tag{7}$$

The equation

$$u - Tu = 0$$

is equivalent to the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{su } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

which, in $\mathscr{C}$, admits only the trivial solution $u = 0$.

Then, as T is compact, applying to (7) the Fredholm alternative we have that for every $v \in \mathscr{C}$ there exists an unique solution $u \in \mathscr{C}$ of (7) and the theorem ensues. □

Remark 2.4. *If $u \in \mathscr{C}$ is a solution to the problem* (2) *then for every $k \in \mathbb{R}$ the function $u + k$ is also a solution to* (2) *in $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ (but not in $\mathscr{C}$).*
On the other hand, if u is a solution to (2) *in $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ we can obtain a solution in $\mathscr{C}$ setting*

$$v = u - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u.$$

Then, using Theorem 2.1 we have a existence and uniqueness (up to an additive constant) result for the problem (2) *in $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$.*

3 Schauder estimate

We prove an estimate of $\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}}$ in terms of $\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}}, \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}$ for solutions to problem (2). In particular, as the uniqueness of the solution is proved up to an additive constant, we prove the estimate for a solution with null average.

We state the following theorem of which we give three proofs:

Theorem 3.1. *Let Ω be a $C^{2,\alpha}$-domain and $f \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$,$g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ such that*

$$\int_\Omega f = \int_{\partial\Omega} g.$$

Let $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ be a solution to (2). *Then*

$$\left\| u - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u \right\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \le C(\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}),$$

with $C = C(\Omega, N, \alpha)$.

The first proof of Theorem 3.1 has been suggested to us by A. Adimurthy who attributed it to Jacques-Louis Lions. However we did not find any reference for such a proof, which is detailed in the following.

First proof of Theorem 3.1: Let $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ be a solution to (2) such that $\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u = 0$. By Theorem 6.30 in [7] (p.127), we have the following estimate for u

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \le C_1(\Omega, \alpha, N)(\|u\|_{C^0} + \|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}) \tag{8}$$

and we are interested in proving that:

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \le C_2(\Omega, \alpha, N)(\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}). \tag{9}$$

Let us suppose that (9) is false. Then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $\{u_k\} \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ and $\{f_k\} \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, $\{g_k\} \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_k = f_k & \text{in } \Omega \\ \dfrac{\partial u_k}{\partial n} = g_k & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

$$\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u_k = 0 \tag{11}$$

$$\|u_k\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} = 1 \tag{12}$$

$$\|u_k\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} > k(\|f_k\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} + \|g_k\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}) \tag{13}$$

thus $f_k \to 0$ in $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ and $g_k \to 0$ in $C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$.

Using (12) we have that for every multi-index β, $|\beta| = 0, 1, 2$, $\{D^\beta u_k\}$ is uniformly bounded in $C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ and equicontinuous because

$$|D^\beta u_k(x) - D^\beta u_k(y)| \leq |x-y|^\alpha \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega, \, \forall |\beta| = 2$$

which implies that

$$|D^\beta u_k(x) - D^\beta u_k(y)| \leq C(\Omega)|x-y|^\alpha \quad \forall x, y \in \Omega, \, \forall |\beta| = 0, 1.$$

Iterating the Ascoli-Arzelà theorem we get a subsequence $\{u_{k_h}\}$ such that

$$u_{k_h} \to u_0 \ \text{ in } \ C^0(\overline{\Omega}), \tag{14}$$

$$D^\beta u_{k_h} \to u_\beta \ \text{ in } \ C^0(\overline{\Omega}) \ \ \forall \beta, |\beta| = 1, 2, \tag{15}$$

which implies

$$u_{k_h} \to u_0 \ \text{ in } \ C^2(\overline{\Omega}).$$

Then

$$\Delta u_0 = \lim_h \Delta u_{k_h} = \lim_h f_{k_h} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial n} = \lim_h \frac{\partial u_{k_h}}{\partial n} = \lim_h g_{k_h} = 0$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_0 = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \dfrac{\partial u_0}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \\ \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u_0 = 0 \end{cases}$$

which implies $u_0 = 0$. Comparing with (8), we get a contradiction because

$$1 = \|u_{k_h}\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \leq C_1(\Omega, \alpha, N)(\|u_{k_h}\|_{C^0} + \|f_{k_h}\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} + \|g_{k_h}\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}) \to 0.$$

□

For the second proof of Theorem 3.1 we need two more lemmas. The first one states an estimate in $L^2(\Omega)$ for $u - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u$:

Lemma 3.2. *Let Ω be a $C^{2,\alpha}$-domain and $f \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$, $g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ such that*

$$\int_\Omega f = \int_{\partial\Omega} g.$$

Let $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ be a solution to (2). Then

$$\left\| u - \frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u \right\|_{L^2} \leq C(\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}),$$

with $C = C(\Omega, N)$.

Proof: We can suppose $\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u = 0$. Integrating by parts we have

$$\int_\Omega |Du|^2 = \int_{\partial\Omega} ug - \int_\Omega uf$$

and using the Young inequality with $\varepsilon > 0$ we get

$$\int_\Omega |Du|^2 \le \int_{\partial\Omega} |ug| + \int_\Omega |uf| \le \varepsilon \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\int_{\partial\Omega} |g|^2 + \varepsilon \int_\Omega |u|^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon}\int_\Omega |f|^2.$$

Now, we have

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^2 \le C_1(\Omega)\|u\|^2_{W^{1,2}} \ \ \forall u \in W^{1,2}(\Omega) \cap C(\overline{\Omega});$$

so

$$\int_\Omega |Du|^2 \le \varepsilon C_2(\Omega)\|u\|^2_{W^{1,2}} + C_3(\Omega,\varepsilon)\left[\|g\|^2_{W^{1,2}} + \|f\|^2_{L^2}\right]$$

and, as $u \in \mathscr{C}$, using the Poincaré Inequality, we get

$$\int_\Omega |Du|^2 \le \varepsilon C_4(\Omega,N)\|Du\|^2_{L^2} + C_5(\Omega,\varepsilon)\left[\|g\|^2_{C^{1,\alpha}} + \|f\|^2_{C^{0,\alpha}}\right].$$

Choosing $\varepsilon < 1/C_4(\Omega,N)$ and using Poincaré Inequality we have

$$\|u\|_{L^2} \le C_6(\Omega,N)\|Du\|_{L^2} \le C\left(\|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} + \|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}}\right),$$

with $C = C(\Omega,N)$. □

The second lemma proves a local estimate for solution of Poisson's equation:

Lemma 3.3. *Let Ω be a domain of $\mathbb{R}^N$. Let $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ with $p > N/2$ and $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ a solution of $\Delta u = f$ in Ω. Then, for every ball $B(y,2R) \subset \Omega$ we have*

$$\sup_{B(y,R)} |u| \le C\left(R^{-\frac{N}{2}}\|u\|_{L^2(B(y,2R))} + R^{2-\frac{N}{p}}\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}\right),$$

with $C = C(N,p)$.
(cf: Serrin [15]: Theorem 1 p.255 and Theorem 2 p.259).

Following N. Fusco's idea, we can now give the second proof of Theorem 3.1:
Second proof of Theorem 3.1: We can suppose $\frac{1}{|\Omega|}\int_\Omega u = 0$. We give an estimate for $\sup_{\partial\Omega}|u|$. As Ω is a $C^{2,\alpha}$-domain, for every ε small enough we have

$$(x - \varepsilon n(x)) \in \Omega \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega$$

and, by Lagrange Theorem, for every $x \in \partial\Omega$ there exists $\tau = \tau(x,\varepsilon) \in (0,1)$ such that

$$u(x - \varepsilon n(x)) = u(x) + (Du(x - \tau\varepsilon n(x)), -\varepsilon n(x)).$$

Defining $\Omega_\varepsilon = \{x \in \Omega |\ \mathrm{dist}(x,\partial\Omega) \ge \varepsilon\}$ and taking the supremum for $x \in \partial\Omega$ in the previous relationship we get:

$$\sup_{\partial\Omega}|u| \le \sup_{\Omega_\varepsilon}|u| + \varepsilon\sup_\Omega |Du|. \tag{16}$$

Let $R < \varepsilon/2$. We consider a finite cover of Ω_ε, denoted by $\{B(y_i,R)\}_{i=1}^M$(with $M = M(\varepsilon,\Omega)$); as $f \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$ then $f \in L^{N+1}(\Omega)$ and by Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\sup_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sup_{B(y_i,R)} |u| \leq MC_1(N)\left(R^{-\frac{N}{2}}\|u\|_{L^2(B(y,2R))} + R^{2-\frac{N}{N+1}}\|f\|_{L^{N+1}(\Omega)}\right)$$

which implies

$$\sup_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u| \leq C_2(\varepsilon,\Omega,N)\left(\|u\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^{N+1}}\right). \tag{17}$$

By Theorem 3.7 in [7] (p.36) and (16), we have

$$\sup_{\Omega} |u| \leq \sup_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |u| + \varepsilon \sup_{\Omega} |Du| + C_3(\Omega)\sup_{\Omega} |f|$$

and, by (17), we get

$$\sup_{\Omega} |u| \leq C_2(\varepsilon,\Omega,N)\left(\|u\|_{L^2} + \|f\|_{L^{N+1}}\right) + \varepsilon \sup_{\Omega} |Du| + C_3(\Omega)\sup_{\Omega} |f|.$$

Using Lemma 3.2, we get

$$\sup_{\Omega} |u| \leq C_4(\varepsilon,\Omega,N)(\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}) + \varepsilon\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}}$$

and, by Theorem 6.30 in [7] (p.127), we have

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \leq C_5(N,\Omega,\alpha,\varepsilon)(\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}) + \varepsilon C_6(N,\Omega,\alpha)\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}}.$$

Choosing $\varepsilon < 1/C_6(N,\Omega,\alpha)$ the theorem ensues. □

We give now the third proof of Theorem 3.1, which proves the result if Ω is convex. We thank R. Gianni, who gave us some ideas for this proof.

Third proof of Theorem 3.1, Ω convex: We can suppose $\int_\Omega u = 0$. Because of estimate given by Theorem 6.30 in [7] (p.127), we just need to prove an estimate for $\|u\|_{C^0}$ in terms of $\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}}$ and $\|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}$.

Let $M = \max_{\overline{\Omega}} |u|$ and $p \in \overline{\Omega}$ such that $|u(p)| = M$. We suppose $M > (\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}})$, otherwise there is nothing to prove. By Theorem 6.30 in [7] (p.127), we have

$$\|Du\|_{C^0} \leq C_1(N,\alpha,\Omega)(\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}} + \|u\|_{C^0}) = K. \tag{18}$$

Let us choose a constant r_0 such that

$$r_0 < \begin{cases} 2\text{dist}(\text{p},\partial\Omega) & \text{if } p \in \Omega \\ 2\rho & \text{if } p \in \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

where, if $p \in \partial\Omega$, ρ is the radius of the biggest ball B included in Ω and such that $p \in \partial B$ (note that B exists because $\Omega \in C^2$).

Now, we can prove that, for every r, we have

$$|u| > M - rK, \text{ in } B(p,r) \cap \Omega.$$

Actually, as Ω is convex , for every $x \in B(p,r) \cap \Omega$ we have

$$u(x) - u(p) = \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt}u(p + t(x-p)) = \int_0^1 \langle Du(p + t(x-p)), x - p\rangle$$

so

$$|u(x)| \geq |u(p)| - Kr = M - Kr.$$

Choosing $r < \min\{1/4C_1, r_0\}$, for every $x \in B(p,r) \cap \Omega$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x)| \geq M - rK \quad &= (1 - rC_1)M - rC_1(\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}) > \\ &> \frac{3}{4}M - rC_1(\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}). \end{aligned}$$

Then, as $M > (\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}})$, we get

$$|u(x)| > \frac{3M}{4} - C_1 r M > \frac{M}{2} \quad \forall x \in B(p,r) \cap \Omega$$

and, denoting by ω_N the measure of the unit ball of $\mathbb{R}^N$, we get

$$\frac{M}{2} \frac{\omega_N^{1/2}}{2^{N/2}} r^{N/2} \leq \left(\int_{B(p,r)\cap\Omega} |u|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \|u\|_{L^2}.$$

Then, by Lemma 3.2, we can state

$$\|u\|_{C^0} \leq \frac{2^{\frac{N+2}{2}} r^{-\frac{N}{2}}}{\omega_N^{1/2}} C_2(\Omega, N)(\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}})$$

which implies

$$\|u\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \leq C(\|f\|_{C^{0,\alpha}} + \|g\|_{C^{1,\alpha}}),$$

with $C = C(\Omega, N, \alpha)$. □

4 Appendix: a preliminary result

In this section we remind some result used in Section 2. We refer to [7] (p. 130) for the following alternative result for the oblique derivative problem:

Theorem 4.1. *Let Ω be a $C^{2,\alpha}$-domain. Let L be an elliptic operator, which is uniformly elliptic in Ω and with $C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$-coefficients. Let $l, m \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ such that $m \neq 0$ for every $x \in \partial\Omega$. Then exactly one of the following holds:*

1. *the homogeneous problem*

$$\begin{cases} Lu = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ l(x)u + m(x)\dfrac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

   admits nontrivial solutions;

2. *homogeneous problem has only the trivial solution, in which case for every $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}), g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ there exists a solution $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ to the inhomogeneous problem*

$$\begin{cases} Lu = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ l(x)u + m(x)\dfrac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

We can now prove the following theorem:

Theorem 4.2. *Let* Ω *be* $C^{2,\alpha}$*-domain. Then for every* $f \in C^{0,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega}), g \in C^{1,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ *there exists an unique solution* $u \in C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$ *to the problem*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u - u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial n} = g & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Proof: The problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u - u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ \dfrac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

admits only the trivial solution. Using point 2 of Theorem 4.1 the result ensues. □

References

[1] ADAMS R.A., FOURNIER J.J.F., *Sobolev Spaces.* Academic Press, Paris-New York-London, 2003 (Second Edition).

[2] BREZIS H., *Analyse Fonctionelle.* Masson, Paris-New York-Milan, 1987 (2^e tirage).

[3] BREZIS H., BROWDER F., *Partial Differential Equations in the 20th Century.* Advances in Mathematics 135, 76-144 (1998).

[4] CACCIOPPOLI R., *Sulle equazioni ellittiche a derivate parziali con n variabili indipendenti.* Atti della Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei. Rendiconti Lincei: Matematica e applicazioni. Serie VI, Vol.19 (1934), 83-89.

[5] EVANS L.C., *Partial Differential Equations.* American Mathematical Society, 1998.

[6] FIORENZA R., *Sui problemi di derivata obliqua per le equazioni ellittiche.* Ricerche di Matematica 8 (1959), 83-110.

[7] GILBARG D., TRUDINGER N.S., *Elliptic Partial Differential Equations of Second Order.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2001 (Reprint of the 1998 edition).

[8] LADYŽENSKAJA O.A., URAL'CEVA N.N., *Equations aux Dï¿½rivï¿½es Partielles de Type Elliptique.* Dunod, Paris, 1968.

[9] LIEB E.H., LOSS M., *Analysis.* American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI (USA), 1997.

[10] MIRANDA C., *Equazioni alle Derivate Parziali di Tipo Ellittico.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1955.

[11] MIRANDA C., *Sul problema misto per le equazioni lineari ellittiche.* Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata XXXIX (1955), 279-303.

[12] NARDI G., *Risolubilità nel senso classico e nel senso delle distribuzioni per alcuni problemi ellittici.* Master Thesis (2007).

[13] SCHAUDER J.P., *Equations du type elliptique, problï¿½mes linï¿½aires.* L'Enseignement Mathï¿½matique 35 (1936), 126-139. Anche in: SCHAUDER J.P., *Oeuvres.* PWN-Editions Scientifiques de Pologne, Warsawa, 1978, 450-459.

[14] SCHAUDER J.P., *Über lineare elliptische Differentialgleichungen zweiter Ordnung.* Mathematische Zeitschrift, B.38 (1934), 257-282.

[15] SERRIN J., *Local behavior of solutions of quasi-linear equations.* Acta Mathematica (1964), 111, p.247-302.

[16] SIMADER C.G., *On Dirichlet's Boundary Value Problem.* Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972.

[17] STAMPACCHIA G., *Le Problï¿½me de Dirichlet pour les ï¿½quations elliptiques du second ordre ï¿½ coefficients discontinus.* Ann. Inst. Fourier, Grenoble, 15 (1965), p.189-258.

[18] TOLOTTI C., *La formula di Green per i problemi al contorno con derivata obliqua in spazi curvi quali si vogliano.* Rendiconti dell'Accademia Nazionale dei Lincei 29 (1939), p.285-293.

[19] YOSIDA K., *Functional Analysis.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Second Edition, 1968.